

# Time-resolved correlation: a new tool for studying temporally heterogeneous dynamics

Luca Cipelletti<sup>1,3</sup>, H Bissig<sup>2</sup>, V Trappe<sup>2</sup>, P Ballesta<sup>1</sup> and S Mazoyer<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> GDPC (UMR 5581), Université Montpellier 2, 34095 Montpellier, Cedex 5, France

<sup>2</sup> University of Fribourg, Fribourg CH-1700, Switzerland

E-mail: lucacip@gdpc.univ-montp2.fr

Received 16 October 2002

Published 16 December 2002

Online at [stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/15/S257](http://stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/15/S257)


## Abstract

We introduce a new scheme for investigating temporally heterogeneous dynamics, which is termed time-resolved correlation (TRC). TRC is applied to data obtained by diffusing wave spectroscopy probing the slow dynamics of a strongly aggregated colloidal gel. Other examples of TRC data, collected for different jammed materials in single and multiple scattering, are provided to demonstrate the wide range of applicability of this method. In all cases we find evidence that the slow dynamics results from a series of discrete steps rather than from a continuous motion, suggesting temporal heterogeneities to be a general feature of slow dynamics in jammed systems.

## 1. Introduction

Many soft materials, such as concentrated emulsions and suspensions, polymer glasses, foams, and gels, are metastable, confined to a restricted region of configuration space. The mobility of their constituents is extremely reduced and they exhibit non-stationary, ultraslow dynamics that challenges both experimental characterization and theoretical description. Recent advances in scattering methods, such as the multispeckle technique [1], together with the development of conceptual tools, such as the jamming picture [2] and the concept of soft glassy materials [3], have provided new means of investigating these materials. However, the characterization of their dynamics and the understanding of its physical origin remain far from complete. In this paper, we describe a new approach to scattering techniques, which we call time-resolved correlation (TRC). This technique allows one to discriminate between continuous dynamics and intermittent or temporally heterogeneous dynamics. We present TRC measurements on concentrated colloidal gels and other jammed materials that suggest that temporal heterogeneities are a fundamental feature of the dynamics of jammed systems. These results highlight the potential of TRC as a powerful tool for gaining a better understanding of the dynamics of these systems.

<sup>3</sup> Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.



**Figure 1.** (a)  $g_2 - 1$  measured by multispeckle DWS for a gel at  $\phi = 4.5\%$ . From bottom to top,  $t_w$  is 0.6, 1.1, 4.0, 31.2, and 84 h. (b) The age dependence of the relaxation time of  $g_2 - 1$ . The different symbols refer to independent runs; the line is a power-law fit to the data, yielding an exponent  $\mu = 1.31$ .

## 2. Slow dynamics of concentrated colloidal gels

The colloidal gels are obtained by salt-induced aggregation of polystyrene particles of radius  $a = 85$  nm, suspended in a buoyancy-matching mixture of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  at a volume fraction  $\phi = 4.5\%$ . The ions are produced *in situ* via an enzymatic reaction [4]. We take the time at which gelation occurs [5] as  $t_w = 0$ , where  $t_w$  is the sample age. Since the gels are very turbid, we probe their dynamics by diffusing wave spectroscopy (DWS) [6], where the time autocorrelation function of the intensity of the light scattered under strong multiple-scattering conditions is measured. In order to access the slow dynamics of the gels, we use the multispeckle technique [7]: the pixels of a charge-coupled-device (CCD) camera are used as detectors, and the correlation functions are averaged both over time and over several thousands of speckles, corresponding to the CCD pixels. With the multispeckle technique, the measurement time is of the order of the longest delay in the correlation function, several orders of magnitude less than with traditional techniques.

Figure 1(a) shows the intensity correlation functions  $g_2(\tau) - 1$  measured in the transmission geometry during the ageing of the sample. Similarly to those for other jammed materials,  $g_2(\tau) - 1$  exhibits a fast decay (not accessible to the CCD; data not shown), followed by a slightly inclined plateau, before completely relaxing to zero. We focus on the final relaxation of  $g_2(\tau) - 1$  that can be described by an exponential decay,  $\exp(-\tau/\tau_s)$ , as shown by the fit (solid curves). The characteristic time  $\tau_s$  increases with sample age as  $t_w^\mu$ , with  $\mu = 1.31$  (see (b)). Similar power-law behaviour of the characteristic relaxation time measured by light scattering and rheology experiments has been observed for several jammed systems [1], although in most cases  $\mu \leq 1$ . By applying the standard DWS formalism [6], the particle mean squared displacement  $\langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle$  can be calculated, yielding a diffusive-like behaviour:  $\langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle \sim \tau$ . The diffusion coefficient associated with this motion is age dependent and varies between  $2.9 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  ( $t_w = 100$  s) and  $5.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  ( $t_w = 4 \times 10^5$  s), many orders of magnitude smaller than that of the free particles ( $2.5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ). Such an ultraslow diffusion of the constituents of a jammed system appears to be rather unphysical. Moreover, we note that single-scattering experiments on similar gels, albeit at lower  $\phi$ , have ruled out diffusive motion as the physical mechanism responsible for the long-time decay of the correlation functions [8]. A different approach is thus needed to account for the data shown in figure 1.

A slow exponential relaxation of  $g_2(\tau) - 1$  has been observed previously by Durian *et al* [9, 10] who investigated the dynamics in foams by DWS. They were able to demonstrate that

this exponential relaxation is due to random rearrangements of the bubbles that are localized both in space and in time, rather than to the continuous motion of all scatterers, as is usually the case. Each rearrangement event completely randomizes the phase of the photons whose path crosses the rearranged region; accordingly, the decay time of the correlation function is the time that it takes for a rearrangement event to occur along each photon path.


### 3. Time-resolved correlation (TRC)

To test whether the dynamics of our gels, similarly to that of foams, is temporally heterogeneous we have developed a new scattering method that allows us to probe the evolution of the degree of correlation in time. We term this new approach TRC; although TRC is very flexible and can be applied to single- and multiple-scattering experiments alike, we start by describing its implementation for the DWS experiments on the colloidal gels; an example of TRC data in the single-scattering limit will be given at the end of the paper. We use the CCD camera of the multispeckle set-up to take pictures of the speckle field scattered by the sample at regular time intervals (typically every 0.05–5 s, depending on sample dynamics). The degree of correlation,  $c_I(t_w, \tau)$ , between pairs of images taken at a lag  $\tau$  is then measured as a function of the time  $t_w$  at which the first image was taken. The degree of correlation is calculated according to


$$c_I(t_w, \tau) = \frac{\langle I_p(t_w) I_p(t_w + \tau) \rangle_p}{\langle I_p(t_w) \rangle_p \langle I_p(t_w + \tau) \rangle_p} - 1, \quad (1)$$

where  $I_p(t)$  is the intensity measured at time  $t$  for the  $p$ th CCD pixel and  $\langle \dots \rangle_p$  denotes the average over pixels. The quantity defined by (1) differs from the usual normalized intensity correlation function  $g_2 - 1$  measured by traditional light scattering experiments in that the average is over pixels (associated with different speckles), rather than over time. Moreover, it differs from the correlation function calculated in multispeckle experiments, since in the latter case (1) is averaged either over time [7] (although less extensively than in single-detector experiments), or over several repetitions of the experiment [11] to obtain a true ensemble-averaged quantity. Finally, we observe that in usual scattering experiments  $g_2(\tau) - 1$  depends on the time lag  $\tau$  as the independent variable, the sample age playing the role of a parameter should the dynamics be non-stationary. In contrast, in a TRC measurement  $\tau$  is taken as a parameter and a statistical analysis of  $c_I(t_w, \tau)$  as a function of the independent variable  $t_w$  is performed, thus allowing one to discriminate between continuous and temporally heterogeneous dynamics.

To demonstrate the method, we compare TRC data for a Brownian system and for the gels. Figure 2(a) shows, for several lags  $\tau$ , the time evolution of  $c_I(t_w, \tau)$  for a Brownian sample, unaggregated polystyrene colloidal particles with radius 500 nm suspended in glycerol at a volume fraction  $\phi = 1.8\%$ . The data were collected in the same transmission geometry as for the gels. For a given  $\tau$ ,  $c_I(t_w, \tau)$  fluctuates randomly about a well defined mean value. Physically, this behaviour indicates that the particles, on average, move by the same amount between any pairs of images, regardless of the time  $t_w$  when the first image of the pair was taken, leading statistically to the same amount of loss of correlation of the scattered light. The fluctuations of  $c_I(t_w, \tau)$  with  $t_w$  are due solely to the measurement noise (finite number of speckles, CCD dark, and read-out noise): therefore, as a consequence of the central limit theorem [12], we expect  $c_I$  to be distributed as a Gaussian. This is indeed the case, as demonstrated by figure 2(b), where a frequency plot of  $c_I$ ,  $N(c_I)$ , is depicted on a semi-logarithmic scale for  $\tau = 0.08$  s. The experimental points are obtained by grouping the data in intervals of  $2 \times 10^{-4}$ ; the curve is a Gaussian fit to the data, demonstrating the excellent agreement between  $N(c_I)$  and a Gaussian. Although figure 2(b) shows the frequency plot only for  $\tau = 0.08$  s, we point out that similar results are obtained for all values of  $\tau$ . Note




**Figure 2.** (a) TRC data for a Brownian sample; from top to bottom,  $\tau$  is 0.02, 0.04, 0.08, 0.14, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8, 1.4, and 2.0 s. (b) The frequency plot  $N(c_I)$  of  $c_I(t_w, \tau = 0.08$  s) (squares). The curve is a Gaussian fit to the data.




**Figure 3.** (a) TRC data for a colloidal gel at  $\phi = 4.5\%$ , for  $\tau = 2000$  s. The arrow indicates one of the sudden, anomalously large losses of correlation. (b) The frequency plot  $N(c_I)$  of the data shown in (a). The curve is a Gaussian obtained by fitting the data to the left of the maximum of  $N(c_I)$ .

that the average over  $t_w$  of  $c_I(t_w, \tau)$  directly yields the intensity correlation function  $g_2(\tau) - 1$  as usually measured in a multispeckle experiment: the values of  $c_I(t_w, \tau)$  are the outcome of single measurements of a quantity whose ‘true’ value is estimated by  $g_2(\tau) - 1$ . We find that  $\langle c_I(t_w, \tau) \rangle_{t_w} = g_2(\tau) - 1$  is very close to exponential, as predicted for Brownian particles in the DWS transmission geometry.

Although the shape of the final decay of  $g_2 - 1$  is the same for the colloidal gels as for the Brownian sample, a totally different behaviour is found for the TRC data. Figure 3(a) shows  $c_I(t_w, \tau)$  for  $\tau = 2000$  s and for  $5.2 \times 10^5 < t_w < 7.0 \times 10^5$  s, for the same sample as in figure 1. In contrast to the case for Brownian particles, the noise of  $c_I$  is not symmetric: remarkably, large drops of the degree of correlation can be clearly observed, such as the one pointed to by the arrow. These drops indicate that, from time to time, the particles undergo larger-than-usual movements: whenever such an anomalous motion occurs in between the times  $t_w$  and  $t_w + \tau$  at which two images of the speckle pattern are taken, the degree of correlation  $c_I(t_w, \tau)$  between these two images exhibits a sudden drop. To better demonstrate the differences between the continuous dynamics of the Brownian scatterers and the temporally heterogeneous dynamics of the gels, we show in figure 3(b) the frequency plot for the TRC data of figure 3(a). In sharp contrast to the case of figure 2(b), the distribution  $N(c_I)$  is non-Gaussian and is markedly skewed to the left: its skewness is due to rare events involving anomalously large movements that lead to a relevant loss of correlation. We remark that these events, localized in time and



**Figure 4.** TRC data for a colloidal gel at  $\phi = 4.5\%$ , for  $t_w \leq 15$  days. From top to bottom,  $\tau$  is 0.01, 0.1, 1, 2, 4, 10, 20, 40, 100, and 200 ks.



**Figure 5.** (a) A frequency plot of the TRC data for a multilamellar vesicle gel for  $\tau = 10000$  s. The curve is a Gaussian obtained by fitting the data to the left of the maximum of  $N(c_I)$ . (b) TRC data for a concentrated suspension of xenospheres. From top to bottom,  $\tau$  varies between 0.5 and 1024 s, doubling from one curve to the next.

presumably in space, are strongly reminiscent of the ‘micro-rearrangements’ introduced in a recent model for the dynamics of colloidal gels [13].

Figure 4 shows, for several  $\tau$ -values, the time evolution of  $c_I(t_w, \tau)$  over 15 days. As a general trend,  $c_I$  grows with  $t_w$  so, for a given  $\tau$ , the degree of correlation increases with sample age. This is equivalent to the increase of the characteristic relaxation time  $\tau_s$  of  $g_2 - 1$  already observed in figure 1, since the correlation functions become larger than zero for increasingly large delays  $\tau$  as the sample ages. We note that at large  $t_w$  the aging process led to a substantial loss of correlation that persisted over extended periods of time, seemingly indicating that the gel network is eventually weakened. Interestingly, a similar—albeit temporary—weakening of the gel has also been observed in single-scattering experiments on samples at lower volume fractions [8].

To demonstrate the applicability of the TRC method to single-scattering experiments, we show in figure 5(a) the frequency plot  $N(c_I)$  for a gel formed by closely packed multilamellar vesicles (details on the sample can be found in [14]). Here, the CCD camera collects the speckle field scattered within a small solid angle centred around a well defined scattering vector  $q = 10.6 \mu\text{m}^{-1}$ ; the speckle images are then processed in the same way as described above. In striking analogy with the distributions for the colloidal gels, we find that  $N(c_I)$  is strongly skewed to the left, indicating temporally heterogeneous dynamics. Similar results are also obtained for a different concentrated surfactant phase, a micellar polycrystal [15] (single-scattering geometry; data not shown).

These preliminary results suggest that temporal inhomogeneities are a general feature of the dynamics of jammed materials. Further support to this hypothesis is provided by DWS TRC measurements on another jammed system, a concentrated suspension of polyvinyl chloride xenospheres, shown in figure 5(b) (the xenospheres are particles a few tens of microns in size composed of permanently aggregated submicrometric primary spheres [16]). As for the other jammed systems discussed above, the dynamics is highly temporally heterogeneous, as demonstrated by the wide variations of  $c_I$  with  $t_w$ , which are particularly evident for the longest delays  $\tau$ . We remark that here, interestingly, the dynamical inhomogeneities are rather different from the previous cases, for which the drops of  $c_I$  are sudden events whose duration is much smaller than the characteristic relaxation time. In contrast, the much smoother variation of  $c_I$  observed for the xenospheres indicates that this system slowly explores different dynamical states, characterized by widely different mobility.

In conclusion, we have introduced a new scheme for exploring temporal variations of the degree of correlation, which allows continuous dynamics and temporally heterogeneous or intermittent dynamics to be distinguished. This approach can be used in a wide variety of experimental configurations, both in the single- and in the multiple-scattering limit, and could be easily extended to x-photon correlation spectroscopy [17], the only requirements being a coherent radiation source and a multielement detector, such as the pixels of a CCD camera. Thanks to the TRC method we have been able to show that temporal heterogeneities are present in a number of jammed materials, suggesting that intermittency is a general feature of the dynamics of jammed materials. Further work will be needed to fully exploit the information contained in the TRC data, as well as for exploring its connection to other techniques, such as higher-order intensity correlation functions [18], which can detect dynamical heterogeneities.

### Acknowledgments

We thank S Romer for early measurements on the colloidal gels and D Weitz, L Ramos and C Ligoure for useful discussions. Financial support from Région Languedoc-Roussillon and the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant 20-65019.01) is gratefully acknowledged.

### References

- [1] For a review, see for example  
Cipelletti L and Ramos L 2002 *Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci.* **7** 228
- [2] Liu A J and Nagel S D 1998 *Nature* **396** 21
- [3] Fielding S M, Sollich P and Cates M E 2000 *J. Rheol.* **44** 323
- [4] Gauckler L J, Graule T and Baader F 1999 *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **2509** 1
- [5] Romer S, Scheffold F and Schurtenberger P 2000 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85** 4980
- [6] Weitz D A and Pine D J 1993 *Dynamic Light Scattering* ed W Brown (Oxford: Clarendon)
- [7] Cipelletti L and Weitz D A 1999 *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **70** 3214
- [8] Cipelletti L, Manley S, Ball R C and Weitz D A 2000 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84** 2675
- [9] Durian D J, Pine D J and Weitz D A 1991 *Science* **252** 686
- [10] Durian D J, Weitz D A and Pine D J 1991 *Phys. Rev. A* **44** R7902
- [11] Viasnoff V and Lequeux F 2002 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89** 065701
- [12] Papoulis A 1965 *Probability, Random Variables, and Stochastic Processes* (New York: McGraw-Hill)
- [13] Bouchaud J-P and Pitard-Roche E 2001 *Eur. Phys. J. E* **6** 231
- [14] Ramos L and Cipelletti L 2001 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87** 245503
- [15] Cipelletti L, Ramos L, Manley S, Pitard E, Weitz D A, Pashkovski E E and Johansson M 2002 *Faraday Discuss.* **123** at press
- [16] Mark H E *et al* (ed) 2003 *Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Engineering* vol 17, 3rd edn (New York: Wiley-Interscience)
- [17] Diat O, Narayanan T, Abernathy D L and Grüber G X 1998 *Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci.* **3** 305
- [18] Lemieux P-A and Durian D J 1999 *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* **16** 1651